December 23, 1994

Allocation of STR and State Matching Funds to the Counties

COUNTY ENGINEERS/COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS

#94-22

Attached is a list of the FY 95 Surface Transportation Program Rural (STR) allocations for the downstate 96 counties along with the allocation of State matching funds. An information sheet describing the State Matching Assistance and STR Fund distribution method is attached.

The total amount of FY 95 STR funds allocated to the counties is \$19,350,367 with \$17,350,367 to be distributed among the counties in Districts 2 through 9.

The \$3.0 million State match is allotted by first prorating \$1.5 million to all counties that qualify and have a need for matching assistance. The remaining \$1.5 million is allotted to the counties beginning with the county with the highest shortfall percentage and proceeding down the list until the \$1.5 million is exhausted. This method provides matching assistance to 75 counties with 20 counties receiving their full eligible matching assistance needs.

The formula for distribution of State Match funds for this year and previous year is based on a 50% federal and 50% match. This formula will change in FY 96 (October 1995). The split will be revised to 65% federal and 35% match. All other requirements of the State Match will remain unchanged

We are pleased to again provide this matching assistance.

Very truly yours,

William T. Sunley, P.E.

Till Sunle

Engineer of Local Roads and Streets

ccDistrict Engineers

## STATE MATCHING ASSISTANCE

The State normally assists the counties in matching Federal funds where there is an inability to derive sufficient matching funds from local taxation. To qualify to receive State Matching Assistance, a county must meet certain criteria.

- 1. The county must be unable to realize sufficient matching under Section 5-603, Chapter 12p, to fully utilize its STR allotment.
- 2. The county must be assessing at a miniumum rate of .045 in an effort to generate local matching funds.

Distribution: An individual county's allotment of State Matching Assistance in most cases is equal to the difference between what the county actually needs to match its STR dollars and what it is capable of raising locally. However, the allocation to any county assessing .045 but less than the 0.50 maximum is reduced proportionately.

The calulation of need for State Matching Assistance is based on a 50/50 matching ratio. Although 80/20 matching is the normal maximum allowable for STR projects, it is believed that need based on a 50/50 matching ratio is more equitable since the counties have other Federal-aid programs (HBRRP, Safety & STU) in addition to STR requiring local matching. If, for some reason, the amount of State money available for matching assistance is insufficient to cover all of the needs, priorities for receipt of the assistance normally are based on percentage of shortfall.

Lump-sum use: If a county so desires, it may use its accumulated matching funds to derray any part or the entire non-Federal portion of any local Federal-aid project regardless of the Federal matching percentage. However, the State matching must be committed as a lump-sum amount rather than a percentage of project cost.

Anticipation: There can be no anticipation of State matching funds. In other words, no county can commit more State matching funds to a project than it has available at the time of letting. However, existing agreements for active projects may be amended to increase the amount of State Matching Assistance when it becomes available.

## STR FUND DISTRIBUTION METHOD

Each State receives an annual allotment of Surface Transportation Program funds. The Surface Transportation Program Rural (STR) is distributed to the counties in the following manner:

- 1. \$2,000,000 allotted to the Northeast counties, District 1
- 2. 10% divided equally between the downstate counties, Districts 2 9
- 3. Balance allocated to the downstate counties on the basis of the following three factors with equal weight being given to each factor:
  - a. Non-urban rural area (sq. miles)
  - b. Non-urban rural population (0-5000)
  - c. Non-urban mileage systems

	• * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
COUNTY		STP RURAL	STATE MATCH
CODE	COUNTY	ALLOTMENT	ALLOTMENT
			45 004 00
001	ADAMS	263,205.07	15,624.06
003	ALEXANDER	95,253.51	78,709.23
005	BOND	143,140.53	22,147.50
007	BOONE	116,941.47	.00
009	BROWN	93,691.98	73,877.19
011	BUREAU	261,123.02	25,770.90
013	CALHOUN	78,250.16	60,610.98
015	CARROLL	154,938.78	17,280.19
017	CASS	109,307.31	15,736.27
019	CHAMPAIGN	341,281.72	.00
021	CHRISTIAN	214,797.54	19,004.73
023	CLARK	174,544.69	135,316.05
025	CLAY	142,099.51	22,031.28
027	CLINTON	206,295.86	22,162.84
029	COLES	160,143.89	.00
031	C00K	.00	.00
033	CRAWFORD	146,437.10	10,670.47
035	CUMBERLAND	126,657.68	98,764.80
037	DEKALB	220,696.67	.00
039	DEWITT	125,616.66	.00
041	DOUGLAS	165,522.50	17,291.92
043	DUPAGE	.00	.00
045	EDGAR	173,677.17	21,136.18
047	EDWARDS	85,884.32	67,983.80
049	EFFINGHAM	179,576.30	.00
051	FAYETTE	208,030.90	155,693.21
053	FORD	163,266.95	20,523.25
055	FRANKLIN	180,617.32	23,461.36
057	FULTON	252,447.84	32,520.63
059	GALLATIN	98,550.08	79,294.97
061	GREENE	166,737.03	59,778.37
063	GRUNDY	168,645.57	.00
065	HAMILTON	135,159.36	114,785.28
067	HANCOCK	244,640.17	37,413.25
069	HARDIN	66,104.90	58,801.86
071	HENDERSON	114,859.43	17,693.14
073	HENRY	260,082.00	21,047.28
075	IROQUOIS	328,789.45	43,544.07
077	JACKSON	197,100.17	14,685.00
079	JASPER	154,071.26	18,229.60
081	JEFFERSON	196,926.67	21,137.75
083	JERSEY	126,484.18	13,866.71
085	JODAVIESS	188,945.50	14,402.15
087	JOHNSON	115,379.94	95,629.35
089	KANE	.00	.00
091	KANKAKEE	260,602.51	.00
093	KENDALL	138,282.42	.00
095	KNOX	225,034.26	9,825.63
097	LAKE	.00	.00
099	LASALLE	375,635.45	.00
101	LAWRENCE	145,916.59	110,273.46
	- LAMILIAGE	,	

COUNTY	COUNTY	STP RURAL ALLOTMENT	STATE MATCH ALLOTMENT
103	LEE	216,879.59	14,751.54
105	LIVINGSTON	311,092.08	33,933.44
107	LOGAN	186,689.95	13,782.79
109	MCDONOUGH	182,525.86	20,266.41
111	MCHENRY	.00	.00
113	MCLEAN	358,458.58	.00
115	MACON	190,854.04	.00
117	MACOUPIN	319,073.25	42,620.12
119	MADISON	251,059.81	.00
121	MARION	198,141.19	25,675.87
123	MARSHALL	133,771.33	16,928.23
125	MASON	170,033.60	24,484.19
127	MASSAC	88,660.38	10,106.28
129	MENARD	112,777.39	13,988.48
131	MERCER	180,617.32	27,337.28
133	MONROE	120,758.55	4,267.02
135	MONTGOMERY	236,832.51	27,127.54
137	MORGAN	169,860.09	8,151.08
139	MOULTRIE	129,954.25	15,192.73
141	OGLE	277,605.87	,00
143	PEORIA	217,053.09	.00
145	PERRY	141,231.99	19,373.57
147	PIATT .	156,673.81	14,349.38
149	PIKE	229,718.86	170,769.74
151	POPE	92,650.96	83,620.36
153	PULASKI	80,679.21	71,105.05
155	PUTNAM	68,186.94	8,305.67
157	RANDOLPH	206,122.36	22,527.21
159	RICHLAND	118,676.51	17,103.46
161	ROCK ISLAND	140,537.97	.00
163	ST CLAIR	264,419.59	.00
165	SALINE	147,131.11	18,457.30
167	SANGAMON	322,716.83	.00
169	SCHUYLER	125,096.15	101,121.48
171	SCOTT	83,802.27	65,334.19
173	SHELBY	244,119.66	37,112.99
175	STARK	95,600.52	14,825.74
177	STEPHENSON	197,967.69	6,429.63
179	TAZEWELL	217,053.09	.00
181	UNION	124,749.14	90,275.79
183	VERMILION	314,909.16	.00
185	WABASH	75,647.60	8,781.22
187	WARREN	156,153.30	17,756.42
189	WASHINGTON	172,289.14	26,205.86
191	WAYNE	201,264.26	155,760.36
193	WHITE	156,500.31	23,748.40
195	WHITESIDE	256,785.43	14,321.01
197	WILL	.00	.00
199	WILLIAMSON	196,926.67	8,286.94
201	WINNEBAGO	195,365.13	.00
203	WOODFORD	223,299.26	19,090.52